# Circulating Troponin As Measured by a Sensitive Assay for Cardiovascular Risk Assessment in Primary Prevention

David M. Leistner,<sup>1</sup> Jens Klotsche,<sup>2</sup> Lars Pieper,<sup>2</sup> Günter K. Stalla,<sup>3</sup> Hendrik Lehnert,<sup>4</sup> Sigmund Silber,<sup>5</sup> Winfried März,<sup>6</sup> Hans-Ulrich Wittchen,<sup>2</sup> and Andreas M. Zeiher,<sup>1\*</sup> for the DETECT Study Group

BACKGROUND: Measuring circulating cardiac troponin using novel sensitive assays has revealed that even minute elevations are associated with increased mortality in patients with coronary artery disease or even in the general population. Less well defined, however, is the incremental value of measuring circulating cardiac troponin I (cTnI) by a sensitive assay for risk assessment in primary prevention.

METHODS: We measured circulating concentrations of cTnI, N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) in 5388 individuals free of known cardiovascular disease recruited into the DETECT study, a prospective longitudinal population-based cohort study. We determined the prognostic implications for incident major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) during 5 years of follow-up.

**RESULTS:** Circulating cTnI was detectable in 19% of the subjects. Increased cTnI concentrations were associated with established risk factors for atherosclerosis and demonstrated a graded relationship with all-cause mortality and incident MACE during 5-year follow-up. A single measurement of cTnI significantly improved risk prediction over established risk factors, and also added prognostic information, when adjusted for serum concentrations of NTproBNP and hsCRP.

CONCLUSIONS: Minute increases in cTnI are associated with increased mortality and incident MACE in a large primary prevention cohort and, thus, identify contributors to cardiovascular risk not fully captured by traditional risk factor assessment.

© 2011 American Association for Clinical Chemistry

The release of cardiac troponin into the circulation is a hallmark for diagnosis of acute myocardial syndromes and risk stratification in patients with acute coronary syndromes (1, 2). Occasionally, troponin has also been detected in the blood of individuals from the general population; when detectable, it is associated with a profoundly increased risk for subsequent major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE)<sup>7</sup> (3, 4). Owing to the low prevalence of detectable troponin using standard assays, however, its usefulness for cardiovascular risk assessment in the general population has been low (5).

Recently, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays with a limit of detection 10-fold lower than the standard assays have become available for clinical use (6). These sensitive assays not only have improved the accuracy for early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (7-9) in patients with acute coronary syndromes, but also have revealed detectable circulating cardiac troponin concentrations in almost all patients with chronic coronary heart disease (10, 11), as well as in patients with heart failure (12). A recent study also reported measureable cardiac troponin T (cTnT) concentrations as assessed by high-sensitivity assays in >25% of the general population (13), which conferred a significantly increased risk for subsequent all-cause mortality. An analysis of the Women's Health Study emphasized the prognostic value of cTnT for cardiovascular risk stratification in women with diabetes mellitus (14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine III, Cardiology, Goethe-University Frankfurt, Germany; <sup>2</sup> Institute for Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany; <sup>3</sup> Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany; <sup>4</sup> Department of Medicine I, University of Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Lübeck, Germany; <sup>5</sup> Cardiology Practice and Hospital, Munich, Germany; <sup>6</sup> Synlab Centers of Laboratory Diagnostics, Leinfelden Echterdingen and Bad Nauheim, Germany; Mannheim Institute of Public Health, Social and Preventive Medicine, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Germany; and Clinical Institute of Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics, Graz, Austria.

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at: Department of Medicine III, Cardiology, Goethe-University of Frankfurt, Theodor-Stern-Kai. 7, D-60590 Frankfurt/ Main. Fax +49-69-6301-6374; e-mail zeiher@em.uni-frankfurt.de.

Received August 27, 2011; accepted November 2, 2011.

Previously published online at DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2011.174292 <sup>7</sup> Nonstandard abbreviations: MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; cTnT,

cardiac troponin T; DETECT, Diabetes Cardiovascular events; c1m, cardiac troponin T; DETECT, Diabetes Cardiovascular Risk Evaluation Targets and Essential Data for Commitment of Treatment; CAD, coronary artery disease; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; IDI, integrated discrimination improvement; NRI, net reclassification improvement; AUC, area under the curve; ARIC, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities.

Nevertheless, the incremental value of measuring cardiac troponin using a sensitive assay for risk prediction over currently available risk assessment in broad population-based primary prevention of cardiovascular disease is still ill defined.

Therefore, we measured circulating cTnI in 5388 individuals free of known cardiovascular disease who were recruited into the Diabetes Cardiovascular Risk Evaluation Targets and Essential Data for Commitment of Treatment (DETECT) study and determined the prognostic implications for incident MACE.

# Materials and Methods

#### STUDY POPULATION

The DETECT study is a large multistage prospectivelongitudinal study. The baseline study consisted of a nationwide representative sample of physicians with primary care functions (medical practitioners, general practitioners, general internists), who recruited 55 518 unselected consecutive subjects, >95% white, in 3188 primary care offices in Germany on 2 predefined halfday cutoff dates (*15*). A representative sample of 7519 participants was randomly chosen in 1000 primary care offices for additional laboratory tests and evaluated for 5 years (*15*) (see Supplementary Table 1, which accompanies the online version of this article at http://www.clinchem.org/content/vol58/issue1).

For inclusion in the present analysis, study participants had to be free of any history of myocardial infarction, known coronary artery disease (CAD), documented stroke, clinical signs of systolic or diastolic heart failure, or chronic kidney disease requiring hemodialysis at baseline. In addition, participants included in the present analysis needed to have complete data on clinical outcome as well as valid measures of cTnI, N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide (NTproBNP), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) plasma concentrations. These criteria resulted in the exclusion of 2131 patients (see online Supplementary Table 1). Thus, a total of 5388 patients were eligible for the analyses. Information about the baseline data in the total sample has been published (*15*).

The DETECT survey received the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Carl Gustav Carus Medical Faculty at the Technical University of Dresden (AZ: EK149092003; September 16, 2003) and was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01076608).

# BASELINE EXAMINATIONS AND BIOMARKER MEASUREMENT

The details of the standardized methods used in the DETECT study have been described (15). All participants signed an informed consent form and completed a self-report questionnaire, as well as a structured clinical interview and examination by the treating physi-

cian. The physicians also filled out a questionnaire documenting symptoms, diagnoses, treatments, and health behavior of the individual subjects. Additionally, a comprehensive laboratory assessment was performed. The venous blood samples obtained in 2003 were immediately frozen after collection and stored at -80 °C until the time of analysis, performed in 2009.

Circulating troponin I was measured with the sensitive Troponin I Ultra assay (Advia Centaur TnI-Ultra<sup>™</sup> immunoassay system, Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics), which has a limit of detection of 6 ng/L, a 99th-percentile cutoff of 4 ng/L, and a CV of <10% at 7 ng/L, as reported previously (6, 16). Measurements were performed by Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Products (Marburg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's quality control specification (Advia Centaur TnI-Ultra Assay Specifications, 2007). In addition, measurements of NT-proBNP (Elecsys2010 analyzer, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Diagnostics) and hsCRP (Roche Modular, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Diagnostics) were performed.

#### END POINTS

State of health and medical history during follow-up were ascertained at conclusion of the study in 2008. The following end points were documented during the 5-year follow-up period: all-cause mortality, mortality of cardiovascular cause, occurrence of a myocardial infarction, and manifestation of CAD as evidenced by the necessity for coronary revascularization by either bypass graft (CABG) surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). All information on end points was taken from a standardized assessment form by the primary care physician or the institution in which the patient was previously treated. Further information from the cause of death registry was taken into account. For prediction and reclassification analyses, we used a combined end point of MACE, including death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and necessity for coronary revascularization.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We analyzed the cross-sectional association of cTnI concentrations with different established cardiovascular risk factors by odds ratios, which were determined by univariate multinomial logistic regression analysis. Furthermore, independent clinical predictors were determined by multivariate multinomial logistic regression analysis.

We investigated associations between 5-year outcome and cTnI concentrations with the use of Cox proportional hazards regression (17). Besides crude analyses, hazard ratios were adjusted for age, sex, hsCRP, and NT-proBNP serum concentrations, for renal function as determined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and for established cardiovascular risk factors such as arterial hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, family history of CAD, smoking status, and body mass index (BMI). The proportional hazard assumptions were confirmed by Schoenfeld residuals.

We evaluated the associations of the biomarkers and their combinations with outcomes by considering tertiles of cTnI over the detection limit of the assay (6 ng/L), as well as by using a cutoff point that was identified to achieve optimal discrimination for each end point in our study (18). We determined the optimal cutoff of cTnI, NT-pro BNP, and hsCRP values by selecting the maximum of sensitivity and specificity (18). The integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) measure (19) was considered for evaluating the incremental value of biomarkers over established cardiovascular risk factors with respect to classification performance.

To assess model discrimination, we calculated estimates of the *c* statistic after Cox regression models (with 95% CIs) (20) for conventional cardiovascular risk factors, with and without the biomarkers. We also investigated whether the addition of the combination of 2 or 3 different biomarkers improved the discrimination of the models (21, 22).

Additionally, we evaluated the ability of biomarkers to reclassify risk in comparison to a risk stratification by Framingham risk score, following methods suggested previously (19, 23-25). Using multivariable risk models with the clinical covariates listed above, participants were initially classified into low, intermediate, or high risk, if their predicted 10-year Framingham risk of a cardiovascular event was <6%, 6% to <20%, or 20% or greater, respectively. Subjects were then reclassified into different categories according to the addition of biomarker concentrations. The number of subjects reclassified was assessed by the net reclassification improvement (NRI) and IDI. The categorical NRI was calculated according to Framingham risk categories of <6%, 6% to <20%, and 20% or greater. In addition, continuous NRI was estimated as recently suggested by Pencina et al. (26) relying on upward and downward reclassification instead of considering risk thresholds.

*P* values of <0.05 from 2-sided tests were considered to indicate statistical significance. All statistical analyses were conducted with the use of STATA 10 (27).

# Results

PREVALENCE OF DETECTABLE cTnI IN THE STUDY POPULATION The characteristics of the study population are summarized in online Supplementary Table 2. The prevalence of detectable cTnI was 19.0% using the sensitive cTnI assay; 7.3% of the subjects had a cTnI concentration >10 ng/L.

### ASSOCIATION OF cTnI WITH RISK FACTORS FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

As summarized in Table 1, cTnI concentrations were increased with advanced age, male sex, increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure, increased triglyceride concentrations, higher BMI, the presence of hyperlipidemia and diabetes mellitus, and decreased eGFR. Likewise, cTnI concentrations demonstrated a gradual increase with higher Framingham risk scores.

In a multivariate logistic regression model, increased cTnI was independently associated with age, male sex, hypertension, increased BMI, and reduced eGFR (see online Supplementary Table 3).

# ASSOCIATION OF cTnI WITH ALL-CAUSE MORTALITY AND INCIDENT CARDIOVASCULAR EVENTS

During the follow-up period of 5 years, we documented 138 deaths (2.6%) and 111 (2.1%) MACE, including 24 cardiovascular deaths, 33 myocardial infarctions, and 54 revascularization procedures (41 PCIs, 13 CABGs).

Unadjusted all-cause mortality was 1.5% in the cohort of subjects with cTnI concentrations below the detection limit (<6 ng/L) and increased to 4.9% in the first cTnI tertile (6–7 ng/L) and 10.8% in the highest tertile (cTnI 12–22 640 ng/L) of detectable cTnI concentrations (P < 0.0001). Likewise, the occurrence of incident major cardiovascular events within the 5-year follow-up period profoundly increased (P < 0.0001) from 1.2% in subjects with undetectable cTnI concentrations to 4.1% in the first cTnI tertile and 9.3% in the third cTnI tertile.

For subjects in the highest tertile of cTnI (>12 ng/L), unadjusted hazard ratios were 7.4 for all-cause mortality and 7.7 for incident major cardiovascular events (Table 2). Similar results were obtained using a study-specific optimal cutoff threshold of >17 ng/L (see online Supplementary Table 4). By Cox proportional hazard models adjusting for traditional risk factors including age, sex, arterial hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, family history of CAD, BMI, smoking status, and eGFR, hazard ratios were 2.7 for all-cause mortality (P < 0.001) and 2.7 for MACE (P <0.001) (Table 2). Finally, further adjustment for NTproBNP and hsCRP serum concentrations, in addition to traditional risk factors and eGFR, resulted in hazard ratios of 2.3 for all-cause mortality (P < 0.001) and 2.1 for MACE (P = 0.009) in the highest tertile of cTnI (Table 2).

Table 1. Cross-sectional association of cTnI and cardiovascular risk factors within the study cohort.						
		cTnl	I			
	Below detection level (<6 ng/L)	First tertile (6–7 ng/L)	Second tertile (8–11 ng/L)	Third tertile (12–22 640 ng/L)	Pa	
n	4364	365	326	333		
Mean age, years (SD)	53.5 (13.2)	65.0 (11.0)	66.0 (11.4)	65.9 (12.4)	< 0.001	
Male sex, n (%)	1473 (33.8)	191 (52.3)	191 (58.6)	180 (54.1)	< 0.001	
Mean Framingham score, % (SD)	9.1 (8.6)	15.7 (10.2)	17.1 (9.9)	16.0 (11.1)	< 0.001	
Arterial hypertension, n (%)	1307 (30.0)	174 (47.7)	167 (51.2)	200 (60.1)	< 0.001	
Mean systolic blood pressure, mmHg (SD)	129.9 (17.5)	137.0 (17.6)	139.3 (18.6)	139.7 (20.0)	< 0.001	
Mean diastolic blood pressure, mmHg (SD)	79.8 (9.9)	80.3 (9.2)	81.6 (9.1)	81.3 (9.4)	0.006	
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	419 (9.6)	73 (20.0)	89 (27.3)	81 (24.3)	< 0.001	
Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, n (%)	103 (2.4)	21 (5.8)	34 (10.4)	20 (6.0)	< 0.001	
Mean Hb A <sub>1c</sub> , % (SD)	5.4 (0.7)	5.7 (1.0)	5.9 (1.1)	5.8 (0.9)	< 0.001	
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	1131 (25.9)	123 (33.7)	122 (33.4)	122 (36.6)	< 0.001	
Mean LDL cholesterol, mg/dL (SD)	128.5 (33.3)	133.9 (31.4)	133.7 (33.4)	130.6 (33.2)	0.258	
Mean triglycerides, mg/dL (SD)	145.5 (109.4)	164.0 (132.7)	173.3 (252.0)	163.9 (154.8)	0.003	
Mean BMI, kg/m² (SD)	26.7 (4.9)	28.0 (4.8)	27.7 (4.0)	28.1 (4.9)	< 0.001	
Current smoker, n (%)	917 (22.6)	49 (14.9)	35 (12.5)	51 (17.1)	< 0.001	
Mean eGFR, mL $\cdot$ min <sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ (1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>-1</sup> (SD)	58.2 (10.6)	56.6 (10.8)	56.9 (12.2)	54.3 (12.6)	< 0.001	
Mean hsCRP, mg/L (SD)	4.27 (6.88)	4.59 (7.47)	4.37 (6.30)	4.92 (7.88)	0.095	
Mean NT-proBNP, ng/L (SD)	88.8 (166.4)	179.7 (269.4)	173.0 (223.2)	451.7 (951.8)	< 0.001	

#### CARDIOVASCULAR RISK STRATIFICATION BY cTnI CONCENTRATIONS

Accordingly, the addition of cTnI to traditional risk factors significantly (P = 0.002) improved the *c* statistic from 0.800 to 0.839 for prediction of incident major cardiovascular events. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the area under the ROC curve (AUC) increased from 0.809 for established cardiovascular risk factors to 0.823 when high-sensitivity cTnI was added. As a result, IDI and NRI were significantly (P = 0.001) improved (Fig. 1; Table 3).

# CARDIOVASCULAR RISK STRATIFICATION BY BIOMARKER COMBINATIONS

Because adding NT-proBNP serum concentrations to traditional risk factors also significantly improved the *c* statistics, we analyzed the potential role of combining established biomarkers as a multimarker-panel for risk prediction. As illustrated in Table 4 , none of the measured biomarkers hsCRP, NT-proBNP, and cTnI, considered individually without taking into account traditional risk factors, was superior to traditional risk factors to predict incident MACE. The addition of all individual serum biomarkers to the traditional risk factors, however, was associated with a small but significant improvement in *c* statistics for predicting incident MACE (Table 4). Nevertheless, combining all 3 biomarkers did not significantly improve risk prediction for incident MACE compared with using only 2 of the biomarkers in combination.

#### Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrate that circulating troponin I measured by a contemporary sensitive assay is detectable in almost 20% of adults in a general primary prevention population. Higher concentrations of cTnI were found to be associated with classic risk factors for coronary artery disease and demonstrated a graded association with all-cause mortality as well as incident major adverse cardiovascular events during a 5-year follow-up period. Importantly, a single measurement of cTnI significantly improved risk stratification for MACE even after adjustment for traditional risk factors in primary prevention.

The results of the present study are remarkably similar to data of the few studies published to date investigating circulating troponin concentrations as

	All-cause mortality		MACE	
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
Trude				
Detectable cTnl (>6 ng/L)	4.82 (3.45–6.74)	< 0.001	4.59 (3.16–6.66)	< 0.00
Undetectable cTnl	0.21 (0.15–0.29)	< 0.001	0.22 (0.15–0.32)	< 0.00
First tertile (cTnI 6–7 ng/L)	3.27 (1.93–5.54)	< 0.001	3.33 (1.87–5.91)	< 0.00
Second tertile (cTnl 8–11 ng/L)	4.03 (2.44–6.65)	< 0.001	2.90 (1.55–5.42)	< 0.00
Third tertile (cTnI 12–22 640 ng/L)	7.37 (4.91–11.08)	< 0.001	7.76 (4.98–12.08)	< 0.00
djusted for age, sex, and eGFR				
Detectable cTnl	2.09 (1.46-2.98)	< 0.001	1.92 (1.23–2.98)	0.00
Undetectable cTnl	0.48 (0.34–0.68)	< 0.001	0.52 (0.34–0.81)	0.00
First tertile	1.63 (0.97–2.74)	0.065	1.59 (0.86–2.94)	0.13
Second tertile	1.73 (1.02–2.91)	0.045	1.19 (0.62–2.28)	0.60
Third tertile	2.97 (1.90-4.64)	< 0.001	3.04 (1.79–5.17)	< 0.00
djusted for hsCRP and NT-proBNP <sup>a</sup>				
Detectable cTnl	3.50 (2.49–4.94)	< 0.001	3.22 (2.19–4.73)	< 0.00
Undetectable cTnl	0.29 (0.20-0.40)	< 0.001	0.31 (0.21–0.46)	< 0.00
First tertile	2.44 (1.44–4.13)	< 0.001	2.54 (1.43–4.51)	< 0.00
Second tertile	3.07 (1.83–5.15)	< 0.001	2.13 (1.13–4.00)	0.01
Third tertile	5.09 (3.36–7.72)	< 0.001	4.99 (3.12–7.98)	< 0.00
djusted for age, sex, eGFR, and risk factors $^{\mathrm{b}}$				
Detectable cTnl	1.94 (1.35–2.79)	< 0.001	1.78 (1.15–2.75)	0.00
Undetectable cTnl	0.51 (0.36–0.74)	< 0.001	0.56 (0.36–0.87)	0.00
First tertile	1.58 (0.93–2.66)	0.089	1.59 (0.87–2.90)	0.13
Second tertile	1.60 (0.94–2.72)	0.081	1.11 (0.58–2.11)	0.75
Third tertile	2.69 (1.71–4.25)	< 0.001	2.65 (1.55–4.52)	< 0.00
djusted for age, sex, eGFR, hsCRP, NT-proBNP, <sup>a</sup> and risk factors <sup>b</sup>				
Detectable cTnI	1.68 (1.16–2.43)	0.006	1.48 (0.96–2.29)	0.07
Undetectable cTnI	0.60 (0.41-0.86)	0.006	0.67 (0.44–1.04)	0.07
First tertile	1.38 (0.82–2.32)	0.221	1.40 (0.76–2.55)	0.27
Second tertile	1.41 (0.82–2.44)	0.212	0.96 (0.51-1.81)	0.89

<sup>a</sup> Over optimal DETECT discriminatory cutoff value. NT-proBNP: all-cause mortality, >85.85 ng/L; MACE, >121.9 ng/L. hsCRP: all-cause mortality, >1.43 m MACE, >1.4 mg/L.

<sup>b</sup> Risk factors include the following variables: hypertension (binary), hyperlipidemia (binary), diabetes (binary), familial predisposition for diabetes (binary), smoking status (binary), and BMI (continuous).

measured by high-sensitivity assays in a populationbased cohort, free of known cardiovascular disease. The Dallas Heart Study (13) was a slightly smaller study measuring circulating troponin T concentrations using a high-sensitivity assay (hs-cTnT) in 3546 individuals recruited from Dallas County residents and followed up for a median of 6.4 years. In 26% of the individuals without known CAD, hs-cTnT concentrations  $\geq$ 3 ng/L (the lower detection limit of the assay) were observed; these concentrations correlated with multiple traditional risk factors for CAD and demonstrated significant associations with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality (13). Thus, our results essentially confirm that measurement of cTnI with a sensitive assay adds value to the assessment of traditional cardiovascular risk factors in an entirely different population of primary prevention subjects. Compared with the Dallas Heart Study cohort, individuals of the DETECT cohort



spanned a much wider age range and had significantly higher LDL cholesterol concentrations but lower BMI values; the DETECT trial did not use a predefined sampling strategy including intentional oversampling of specific individuals.

Importantly, even in the model fully adjusted for traditional cardiovascular risk factors, the addition of a single measurement of cTnI increased the c statistic and significantly improved discrimination, suggesting that the measurement of low concentrations of circulating TnI is indeed clinically meaningful. Moreover, in accordance with data from the Dallas Heart Study (13), adjustment for NT-proBNP concentrations also significantly improved the *c* statistic, indicating that cTnI and NT-proBNP might provide partly overlapping information on risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals. It should be noted, however, that the troponin assay used in the present study falls short of the recently developed high-sensitivity assays, given its 10% CV at cTnI concentrations of 70 ng/L (28). Specifically, in settings other than diagnosis of myocardial infarction, classification of the applied assay for troponin measurements is crucial for interpretation of the results (29). In fact, the use of a high-sensitivity assay, as applied in the Dallas Heart Study (13), would have most likely resulted in a higher proportion of patients with measureable troponin I concentrations in our primary prevention cohort, given that circulating troponin concentrations correlate with age and the present population was significantly older than the Dallas Heart Study population. In line with this reasoning are recently published results with respect to the incremental value of measuring cTnT by use of a high-sensitivity assay (hs-cTnT) for risk prediction in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study cohort, a general population of middle-aged to older adults (30). The ARIC cohort is significantly older than our cohort, and the prevalence of measurable hs-cTnT was 66.5%, indicating that the association of hs-cTnT concentrations with age translated into a significantly higher prevalence of measurable hs-cTnT (31). Finally, subjects lost to follow-up in the present study were significantly older, more frequently men, and had lower eGFR, all of which might have contributed to a lower prevalence of measurable cTnI in the study cohort available for analysis.

Nevertheless, although cTnI and NT-proBNP demonstrated independent and additive associations with cardiovascular risk prediction, further studies are required to determine whether combinations of these 2 markers will perform better than either marker alone in the identification of contributors to cardiovascular risk not fully captured by traditional risk factor assessment. In addition, heart failure end points were not assessed

6-20% .7) 8 (11.3) .3) 16 (41.0) .) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) .) 0 (0.0)	5 >209 8) 0 (0.0) 10 (25.6 0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	% Up       % Up       )     18 (16.2)       6)     )       24 (21.6)       )     106 (2.0)       )     141 (2.7)	Down ) 14 (12.6 ) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.7) 8 (11.3) .3) 16 (41.0) ) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) ) 0 (0.0)	3) 0 (0.0) 10 (25. 0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 18 (16.2) 6) ) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) 0.0) 141 (2.7)	) 14 (12.6 ) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.7) 8 (11.3) .3) 16 (41.0) ) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) ) 0 (0.0)	<ul> <li>0 (0.0)</li> <li>10 (25.6</li> <li>0 (0.0)</li> <li>0 (0.0)</li> <li>0 (0.0)</li> <li>36 (8.7)</li> <li>1 (100)</li> </ul>	) 18 (16.2) 6) ) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	) 14 (12.6 ) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.7) 8 (11.3) .3) 16 (41.0) )) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	3) 0 (0.0) 10 (25.6 .0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 18 (16.2) 6) ) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) 0.0) 141 (2.7)	) 14 (12.6 ) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.7) 8 (11.3; .3) 16 (41.0; )) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	<ul> <li>i) 0 (0.0)</li> <li>i) 10 (25.6</li> <li>i.0) 0 (0.0)</li> <li>i) 0 (0.0)</li> <li>i) 36 (8.7)</li> <li>i) 1 (100</li> </ul>	) 18 (16.2) 6) ) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) 0.0) 141 (2.7)	) 14 (12.6 ) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.3) 16 (41.0) )) 1 (100.0 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (100)	6) ) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
)) 1 (100.1 .6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) ) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	24 (21.6) ) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	) 9 (8.1) 152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) .) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 106 (2.0) ) ).0) 141 (2.7)	152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.6) 70 (1.4) .8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 5) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) 106 (2.0) ) 0.0) 141 (2.7)	152 (2.9) 145 (2.8)
.8) 225 (54.5) )) 0 (0.0)	i) 36 (8.7) 1 (100	) ).0) 141 (2.7)	145 (2.8)
)) 0 (0.0)	1 (100	0.0) 141 (2.7)	145 (2.8)
		141 (2.7)	145 (2.8)
.5) 10 (14.1)	) 1 (1.4)	) 21 (18.9)	9 (8.1)
.5) 21 (53.9)	) 10 (25.6	6)	
) 1 (100.0	.0) 0 (0.0)	)	
		31 (27.9)	) 15 (13.5
.6) 111 (2.3)	6 (0.1)	) 146 (2.8)	184 (3.5)
.9) 197 (48.1)	) 29 (7.1)	)	
) 0 (0.0)	1 (100	).0)	
		228 (4.3)	333 (6.3)
.0 7. 4.	7.6) 111 (2.3) 4.9) 197 (48.1 .0) 0 (0.0)	0)       1 (100.0)       0 (0.0)         7.6)       111 (2.3)       6 (0.1)         4.9)       197 (48.1)       29 (7.1)         .0)       0 (0.0)       1 (100)         o each category of risk as predicted       10000	(0)       1 (100.0)       0 (0.0)         31 (27.9)         31 (27.9)         7.6)       111 (2.3)       6 (0.1)         146 (2.8)         4.9)       197 (48.1)       29 (7.1)         .0)       0 (0.0)       1 (100.0)         228 (4.3)         0       each category of risk as predicted by using established tom of table). Each parenthenes in parenthenes in a new theorem in a new th

Table 3. Changes in risk prediction for incident major cardiovascular events within the study cohort from adding cTnI and the combination of cTnI, NT-proBNP, and hsCRP to established cardiovascular risk factors,

reclassification with considering a change of the model predicted risk of <0.5% as constant) = 13.6 (P < 0.001); IDI = 1.62 (P < 0.001). Categorical NRI = 11.5 (P = 0.014); continuous NRI = 16.4 (P < 0.001); IDI = 2.03 (P < 0.001).

in the DETECT study. Given that increased concentrations of cardiac troponin and NT-proBNP have been shown to associate with an increased risk for incident heart failure (30), incorporation of heart failure as an end point may have further improved cardiovascular risk prediction. The value of recommending general screening of the primary prevention population by measuring cTnI, as well as appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic consequences, should be determined prospectively.

In summary, minute increases of circulating troponin I as measured by a sensitive assay are detectable in a significant fraction of primary prevention subjects free of known cardiovascular disease and are associated with an increase in all-cause mortality as well as incident major adverse cardiovascular events. These data extend similar results recently published in investigations of entirely different population-based cohorts (3, 13, 14, 30, 32) and may also provide strong support to prospectively address the potential clinical utility of more intensive diagnostic and therapeutic intervention in subjects with minor increases of circulating troponin I concentrations.

	MA	MACE	
	c Statistic	Р	
Established risk factors <sup>a</sup>	0.800	Reference	
cTnl <sup>b</sup>	0.710		
NT-proBNP <sup>b</sup>	0.677		
hsCRP <sup>b</sup>	0.602		
cTnI + NT-proBNP	0.774		
cTnI + hsCRP	0.720		
hsCRP + NT-proBNP	0.718		
cTnI + NT-proBNP + hsCRP	0.794		
Combination with 1 biomarker			
Established risk factors + cTnl	0.839		
Estimated difference with the addition of cTnI	0.039	0.002	
Established risk factors + NT-proBNP	0.843		
Estimated difference with the addition of NT-proBNP	0.043	0.000	
Established risk factors + hsCRP	0.834		
Estimated difference with the addition of hsCRP	0.034	0.001	
Combination with 2 biomarkers			
Established risk factors + cTnI + NT-proBNP	0.853		
Estimated difference with the addition of cTnI $+$ NT-proBNP	0.052	0.000	
Established risk factors + cTnI + hsCRP	0.844		
Estimated difference with the addition of cTnI $+$ hsCRP	0.044	0.000	
Established risk factors + hsCRP + NT-proBNP	0.851		
Estimated difference with the addition of hsCRP $+$ NT-proBNP	0.050	0.000	
Combination with 3 biomarkers			
Established risk factors + cTnI + NT-proBNP + hsCRP	0.859		
Estimated difference with the addition of $cTnI + NT$ -proBNP + hsCRP	0.058	0.000	

mg/L. cTnl, MACE >17 ng/L.

**Author Contributions:** All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 3 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; and (c) final approval of the published article.

**Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest:** Upon manuscript submission, all authors completed the Disclosures of Potential Conflict of Interest form. Potential conflicts of interest:

Employment or Leadership: None declared. Consultant or Advisory Role: None declared. Stock Ownership: None declared. Honoraria: None declared. Research Funding: DETECT is a cross-sectional and prospectivelongitudinal, nationwide clinical epidemiological study. DETECT is supported by an unrestricted educational grant of Pfizer GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany. W. Maerz, Roche Diagnostics. **Expert Testimony:** None declared.

**Role of Sponsor:** The funding organizations played no role in the design of study, choice of enrolled patients, review and interpretation of data, or preparation or approval of manuscript.

Acknowledgments: Members of the DETECT Study Group: principal investigator, Professor Dr. H.-U. Wittchen; staff members, Dipl.-Psych. L. Pieper, Dipl.-Math. J. Klotsche, Dr. T. Eichler, Dr. H. Glaesmer, E. Katze; steering committee, Professor Dr. H. Lehnert (Lübeck), Professor Dr. G.K. Stalla (München), Professor Dr. A.M. Zeiher (Frankfurt); advisory board, Professor Dr. W. März (Heidelberg/Graz), Professor Dr. S. Silber (München), Professor Dr. U. Koch (Hamburg), Priv.-Doz. Dr. D. Pittrow (München/Dresden), Professor Dr. M. Wehling (Mannheim), Dr. D. Leistner (Frankfurt), Dr. H.J. Schneider (München), and Dr. C. Sievers (München).

### References

- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2007; 28:2525–38.
- Thygesen K, Mair J, Katus H, Plebani M, Venge P, Collinson P, et al. Recommendations for the use of cardiac troponin measurement in acute cardiac care. Eur Heart J 2010;31:2197–204.
- Wallace TW, Abdullah SM, Drazner MH, Das SR, Khera A, McGuire DK, et al. Prevalence and determinants of troponin T elevation in the general population. Circulation 2006;113:1958–65.
- Zethelius B, Johnston N, Venge P. Troponin I as a predictor of coronary heart disease and mortality in 70-year-old men: a community-based cohort study. Circulation 2006;113:1071–8.
- Agewall S, Giannitsis E, Jernberg T, Katus H. Troponin elevation in coronary vs. non-coronary disease. Eur Heart J 2011;32:404–11.
- Apple FS, Smith SW, Pearce LA, Ler R, Murakami MM. Use of the Centaur TNI-Ultra assay for detection of myocardial infarction and adverse events in patients presenting with symptoms suggestive of acute coronary syndrome. Clin Chem 2008:54:723–8.
- Reichlin T, Hochholzer W, Bassetti S, Steuer S, Stelzig C, Hartwiger S, et al. Early diagnosis of myocardial infarction with sensitive cardiac troponin assays. N Engl J Med 2009;361:858–67.
- Keller T, Zeller T, Peetz D, Tzikas S, Roth A, Czyz E, et al. Sensitive troponin I assay in early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2009;361:868–77.
- Reiter M, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T, Haaf P, Peter F, Meissner J, et al. Early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in the elderly using more sensitive cardiac troponin assays. Eur Heart J 2011;32:1379–89.
- Omland T, de Lemos JA, Sabatine MS, Christophi CA, Rice MM, Jablonski KA, et al. A sensitive cardiac troponin T assay in stable coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2009;361:2538–47.
- Kavsak PA, Xu L, Yusuf S, McQueen MJ. Highsensitivity cardiac troponin I measurement for risk stratification in a stable high-risk population. Clin Chem 2011;57:1146–53.
- deFilippi CR, de Lemos JA, Christenson RH, Gottdiener JS, Kop WJ, Zhan M, Seliger SL. Association of serial measures of cardiac troponin T using

a sensitive assay with incident heart failure and cardiovascular mortality in older adults. JAMA 2010;304:2494–502.

- de Lemos JA, Drazner MH, Omland T, Ayers CR, Khera A, Rohatgi A, et al. Association of troponin T detected with a highly sensitive assay and cardiac structure and mortality risk in the general population. JAMA 2010;304:2503–12.
- 14. Everett BM, Cook NR, Magnone MC, Bobadilla M, Kim E, Rifai N, et al. Sensitive cardiac troponin T assay and the risk of incident cardiovascular disease in women with and without diabetes mellitus: the Women's Health Study. Circulation 2011;123:2811–8.
- Wittchen HU, Glaesmer H, Marz W, Stalla G, Lehnert H, Zeiher AM, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in primary care: methods and baseline prevalence rates—the DETECT program. Curr Med Res Opin 2005;21:619–30.
- Melanson SE, Morrow DA, Jarolim P. Earlier detection of myocardial injury in a preliminary evaluation using a new troponin I assay with improved sensitivity. Am J Clin Pathol 2007;128: 282–6.
- Cox DR, Oakes D. Analysis of survival data. London: Chapman & Hall, 1984.
- Klotsche J, Ferger D, Pieper L, Rehm J, Wittchen HU. A novel nonparametric approach for estimating cut-offs in continuous risk indicators with application to diabetes epidemiology. BMC Med Res Methodol 2009;9:63.
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB Sr, D'Agostino RB Jr, Vasan RS. Evaluating the added predictive ability of a new marker: from area under the ROC curve to reclassification and beyond. Stat Med 27:157– 72, 2008; discussion 207–12.
- Harrell FE Jr, Califf RM, Pryor DB, Lee KL, Rosati RA. Evaluating the yield of medical tests. JAMA 1982;247:2543–6.
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB. Overall c as a measure of discrimination in survival analysis: model specific population value and confidence interval estimation. Stat Med 2004;23:2109–23.
- Antolini L, Nam B, D'Agostino RB. Inference on correlated discrimination measures in survival analysis: a nonparametric approach. Commun Stat Theory Methods 2004;33:2117–35.
- 23. Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, Cook NR. Devel-

opment and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. JAMA 2007; 297:611–9.

- Zethelius B, Berglund L, Sundstrom J, Ingelsson E, Basu S, Larsson A, et al. Use of multiple biomarkers to improve the prediction of death from cardiovascular causes. N Engl J Med 2008;358: 2107–16.
- Melander O, Newton-Cheh C, Almgren P, Hedblad B, Berglund G, Engstrom G, et al. Novel and conventional biomarkers for prediction of incident cardiovascular events in the community. JAMA 2009;302:49–57.
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB Sr, Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassification improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. Stat Med 2011;30:11–21.
- 27. Stata Statistical Software: Release 11. College Station (TX): StataCorp LP; 2009.
- Prontera C, Fortunato A, Storti S, Mercuri A, Longombardo G, Zucchelli GC, et al. Evaluation of analytical performance of the Siemens Advia TNI Ultra immunoassay. Clin Chem 2007;53:1722–3.
- Keller T, Munzel T, Blankenberg S. Making it more sensitive: the new era of troponin use. Circulation 2011;123:1361–3.
- 30. Saunders JT, Nambi V, de Lemos JA, Chambless LE, Virani SS, Boerwinkle E, et al. Cardiac troponin T measured by a highly sensitive assay predicts coronary heart disease, heart failure, and mortality in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. Circulation 2011;123:1367–76.
- Agarwal SK, Avery CL, Ballantyne CM, Catellier D, Nambi V, Saunders J, et al. Sources of variability in measurements of cardiac troponin T in a community-based sample: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. Clin Chem 2011;57: 891–7.
- 32. Blankenberg S, Zeller T, Saarela O, Havulinna AS, Kee F, Tunstall-Pedoe H, et al. Contribution of 30 biomarkers to 10-year cardiovascular risk estimation in 2 population cohorts: the MONICA, Risk, Genetics, Archiving, and Monograph (MORGAM) biomarker project. Circulation 2010;121:2388– 97.